| | | F | Performan | ce R | eport Appendix A | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | (| Quart | er 3 2011/12 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Trend | | RAG score | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Û | Performance is reducing | R | RED - target missed / off target - Per least 10% below the required level of | | | | | | | | | |
| Performar Judgemer | | \Leftrightarrow | Performance remains unchanged | Α | AMBER - target missed / off target - F less than 10% below the required leve improvement | | ance | | | | | | | |
| | | 仓 | Performance is improving | G | GREEN - Target achieved or perform to achieve target | ance or | ı track | | | | | | | |
| Sustainab | inable Communities | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ref | | Indicator Performance Judgement | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SC 1 | Num | nber of S | Serious Acquisiti | ve crin | nes per 1,000 population | 仓 | G | | | | | | | |
| SC 2 | The | number | of out of work b | penefit | claimants | 仓 | Monitor only | | | | | | | |
| SC 3 | The | number | of people in em | nploym | ent (Aged 16 to 64) | 仓 | G | | | | | | | |
| SC 4 | Num | nber of a | affordable home: | s creat | ted | ① | G | | | | | | | |
| SC 5 | Len | gth of ro | ad resurfaced | | | 仓 | G | | | | | | | |
| SC 6 | Perc | centage | of household wa | aste se | ent for recycling | 仓 | G | | | | | | | |
| SC 7 | Perc | centage | of municipal wa | ste lar | ndfilled | 仓 | G | | | | | | | |

Sustainable Communities

Director: Gary Alderson

Executive Member for Sustainable Communities - Services - Cllr Brian J Spurr Executive Member for Sustainable Communities - Strategic Planning & Economic Development - Cllr Ken C Mathews Deputy Executive Member for Sustainable Communities - Services - Cllr Budge Wells Deputy Executive Member for Sustainable Communities - Services - Cllr lan Dalgarno Deputy Executive Member for Sustainable Communities - Strategic Planning & Economic Development - Cllr J Nigel Young

| | SC | 1 | Numb | er of s | erious | acquis | sitive c | rimes | per 1,0 | 00 pop | oulatio | n | | | | | | |
|------|------|------|---------|---------------------|--------|--------|----------|-------|---------|---------------------|---------|------|------|------|---------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| | | Good | 2009/10 | | | 201 | 0/11 | | | | | 201 | 1/12 | | | Latest comparator group | 14.3 _{PWC} | Report |
| Uni | Unit | ie | Outturn | Target (Outturn) | Qu 1 | Qu 2 | Qu 3 | Qu 4 | Outturn | Target (Outturn) | Qu 1 | Qu 2 | Qu 3 | Qu 4 | Outturn | average | 2009/10 | compariso |
| Numb | ber | Low | 11.5 | 13.30 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 13.1 | 13.30 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.9 | | | | | |

Comment: Serious acquisitive crime includes domestic burglary, robbery, theft of motor vehicle and theft from motor vehicle. Quarter 3 performance has improved 2010/11, there were 61 less serious acquisitive crimes, a reduction of 7.6%.

The focus on Domestic Burglary, Robbery and Vehicle Crime has remained over the quarter with a continued focus on targeting prolific offenders. This has been in also seen unprecedented numbers of Police Officers and PCSOs deployed into vulnerable locations across Central Bedfordshire to provide high visibility and prev Houghton Regis and Leighton Buzzard have been reduced compared to spikes last year and the momentum in reductions in Domestic Burglary have remained.

Several arrests have been made in relation to thefts of catalytic converters but this remains an issues across borders, however the intelligence picture is building.

Reducing Serious Acquisitive Crime remains as a priority for Bedfordshire Police and longer term reduction plans are being developed with Community Safety Par to make further progress. Along with the CSP, the Council's Community Safety, Housing and Child Protection teams are working hard on the Integrated Offender I the most prolific offenders reoffending by offering them help and support. If this help is not accepted then they are targeted through proactive policing.

| | SC | ; 2 | The n | umber | of out | of wor | k bene | efit clai | mants | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|------|-------------------|---------------------|--------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | | Good | 2009/10 | | - | 20 ⁻ | 10/11 | | _ | | | 2011/ | 12 | - | | Latest comparator group average | - | Report compariso |
| | Unit | | Outturn FEB 10 | Target (Outturn) | | Qu 2 FEB 10 | Qu 3 MAY 10 | Qu 4 AUG 10 | Outturn | Target (Outturn) | Qu 1 NOV 10 | Qu 2 FEB 11 | Qu 3 MAY 11 | Qu 4 AUG11 | Outturn | • | | companse |
| ٢ | lumber | Low | 13,030 | Monitor only | 12,990 | 13,030 | 12,370 | 12,490 | | Monitor only | 12,210 | 12,570 | 12,250 | | | | | |

Comment: This indicator is part of the official labour market statistics provided by the Office of National Statistics and is the most reliable data available. However, arrears. This indicator is used by the Environment and Economy Thematic Partnership (a subgroup of Central Bedfordshire Together – the Local Strategic Partne working between the partners.

The level of key out of work benefits has fallen by 320 people to 7.4% of the working age population (12,250 people) in May 2011. The level of out of work benefits comparator areas (East of England and South East Midlands Local Enterprise Partnership)

The most frequently claimed out of work benefit in Central Bedfordshire is a combination of Employment Support Allowance and Incapacity Benefit, with 39.5% of benefits in May 2011. There is an approximately equal split between Male and Female Claimants. The performance of this indicator remains volatile to wider macr policy changes, including proposals to change eligibility criteria for employment support allowance.

The Council is actively working to promote employment, skills and business growth in Central Bedfordshire through the Economic Development Plan, agreed by the emerging All Age Skills Strategy. At the heart of the EDP is an economic development model focusing on four interconnected priority themes: Supporting business sites and premises; Getting our residents into work: and Increasing the supply of our skilled people. The Economic Growth team are working closely with Job Cent other partners to continue to target support at those in most need, for example through the use of European Social Funds, the roll out of Work Clubs and improved of facilities with the Jobcentre.

| year Quarte | er on quarter = | ed to the same tin Compared to the to one fixed poin | e previous | quarter |
|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| | | | | |
| port arison | Seasonal | Performance Judgement | 仓 | G |
| | | | | |
| | · | ed to the same | · | |
| reventa | • | o Operation Po . Crime levels | | n nas |
| | • • • | partners and rogramme. Thi | | |

| t son | Seasonal | Seasonal Performance Judgement 1 So | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | y available six only be deliver | | | | | | | | | | |
| s rei | ship) and will only be delivered through joint remains lower than national and local | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | all benefit claimants registered for these of economic conditions and government | | | | | | | | | | | |
| s to tre | grow and th Plus, work p | November, ar prive; Providing programme pro prking, includi | g a range oviders a | and | | | | | | | | |

| so | ; 3 | The n | umber o | of people | e in empl | oyment | (Aged 16 | to 64) | | | | | | |
|--------|------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | | 2010/11 | | | | | 2011/12 | | | Latest comparator group average | Report compariso |
| Unit | Good is | Outturn (APR 09 TO MAR 10) | Target (Outturn) | Qu 1 DEC 09 | Qu 2 MAR 10 | Qu 3 JUN10 | Qu 4 SEP 10 | Target (Outturn) | Qu 1 DEC 10 | Qu 2 MAR 11 | Qu 3 JUN 11 | Qu 4 / Outturn SEP 11 | 3.000 2101030 | |
| Number | High | 125,900 | No target set | 129,100 (5.7% above) | 125,900 (6.4% above) | 128,000 (7.3% above) | 127,400 (7.1% above) | 5% above National Average | 126,300 (6.6% above) | 125,000 (5.7% above) | 125,100 (5.9% above) | | | |

Comment: This indicator is part of the official labour market statistics provided by the Office of National Statistics and is the most reliable data available. However to the close of the guarter and the data is only available six months in arrears. This indicator is used by the Environment and Economy Thematic Partnership and between the partners.

The number of people in employment rose by 100 to the period March to June 2011. This reverses the previous downward trend and is the first increase since the rate of 76.2% which is above national, eastern region and SEMLEP levels and is 5.9% points above the national level. Performance of this indicator is linked to wid has recently adopted its Economic Development Plan, setting out a number of priority areas to promote employment and business growth in Central Bedfordshire.

| SC | ; 4 | Numb | er of a | afforda | ble ho | mes c | reated | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|--------|-------|---------|---------------------|------|------|---------|------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|----------|---|
| | Good | | | 201 | 0/11 | | | | | | 2011/12 | | | | Latest comparator group | Report comparison | Seasonal | Performance | <u>ہ</u> | G |
| Unit | is | Target (Outturn) | Qu 1 | Qu 2 | Qu 3 | Qu 4 | Outturn | Target (Outturn) | Qu 1 | Qu 2 | Qu 3 | Qu 4 | Year to date | Outturn | average | comparison | | Judgement | | |
| Number | High | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 302 | 300 | 36 | 89 | 197 | | 322 | | | | | | | |
| Comm the nur are sec | High N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A State | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Quarter 3 outturn is now better than expected due to a high level of performance during the quarter and the council has now exceeded its target of 300 affordable housing completions per year. This quarters' outturn has largely come from delivery through larger S106 sites, however smaller schemes have also complemented delivery this quarter. Some late submissions to Quarter 2 (30 dwellings) also boost the figures further. The council has also fulfilled its target of delivering 20 rural exception homes (12 in Caddington and 8 in Old Warden).

| SC | 5 | Lengt | h of ro | ad res | urface | d | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|------|---------|---------------------|--------|-------------|-------|-------|---------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|-------------------------|---|-----------|
| | Good | 2009/10 | | | 20 1 | 0/11 | | | | | 201 | 1/12 | | | Latest comparator group | - | Report |
| Unit | is | Outturn | Target (Outturn) | Qu 1 | Qu 2 | Qu 3 | Qu 4 | Outturn | Target (Outturn) | Qu 1 | Qu 2 | Qu 3 | Qu 4 | Outturn | average | | compariso |
| km | High | 28.00 | 25 | 6.488 | 3.357 | 3.807 | 5.939 | 19.59 | 18 | 3.713 | 5.570 | 8.524 | | | | | |

Comment: This is a proxy measure for the annual percentage of road requiring maintenance indicator and is based on the amount of road resurfacing required to target has been set to meet the Council's agreed funding for road resurfacing.

The 2011/12 Quarter 3 figure of 8.524km has greatly increased from the same period in the previous year because 2 quarters worth of work (Quarters 3 & 4) was with the possibility of winter weather limiting the amount of resurfacing that could be achieved. When surface dressing is included in the figures, 42km of road resu been completed up until the end of Quarter 3.

Due to the £3 Million of funding being brought forward from the 2012/13 budget, a further 17.092km of resurfacing has been scheduled for Quarter 4. The extra wo scheduled 2011/12 length from 18km to just over 35km.

| t son | Quarter on quarter | Performance Judgement | 仓 | G | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | uses an avera vered through | • | | | | | | | | |
| | will only be delivered through joint working previous year. This reflects an employment der macro economic conditions. The Council | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| t son | Seasonal | Performance Judgement | 仓 | G | | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ma | iintain stand | ards during th | e year. | The | | | | | | | | |
| | maintain standards during the year. The scheduled for Quarter 3. This was to cope rfacing and 7km of footway surfacing has | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ork | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | SC | 6 | Perce | ntage o | of hous | sehold | waste | sent f | or recy | cling | | | | | | | | |
|----|----------------------|------|---------|---------------------|---------|--------|-------|--------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------|---------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | Good 2009/10 2010/11 | | | | | | | | | | | 201 | 1/12 | | | Latest comparator group | 47.8% PWC | Report |
| Ur | Unit God is | | Outturn | Target (Outturn) | Qu 1 | Qu 2 | Qu 3 | Qu 4 | Outturn | Target (Outturn) | Qu 1 | Qu 2 | Qu 3 | Qu 4 | Outturn | average | 2009/10 | compariso |
| % | 6 | High | 50.30 | 50.0 | 53.82 | 51.43 | 49.02 | 51.70 | 51.6 | 51 | 53.2 provisional | 54.2 provisional | Not yet available | | | | | |

Comment: Central Bedfordshire is a high performing council and the provisional Quarter 2 figure shows an improvement on the comparable Quarter 2 performance through continued improvement of the recycling service, such as offering reuse and plasterboard recycling at HWRCs and continued promotion of waste minimisati areas where there has historically been low levels of recycling. The 51.6% outturn for 2010/11 places the Council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of all unitary councils across the council seventh out of alll

Due to external verification of data through the Waste Data Flow system, both the Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 figures are provisional and the Quarter 3 figure is not ye

| so | 7 | Perce | ntage o | of mun | icipal | waste | landfil | led | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | Good | 2009/10 | | | 20 1 | 0/11 | 1 | F | | • | 201 | 1/12 | | F | Latest comparator group average | 45.8 PWC | Report comparisor |
| Unit | is | Outturn | Target | Qu 1 | Qu 2 | Qu 3 | Qu 4 | Outturn | Target | Qu 1 | Qu 2 | Qu 3 | Qu 4 | Outturn | | 2009/10 | |
| | | | (Outturn) | | | | | | (Outturn) |) | | | | | | | |
| % | Low | 44.20 | 50 | 43.44 | 45.95 | 49.52 | 46.8 | 46.3 | 46 | 43.9 provisional | 43.9 provisional | Not yet available | | | | | |
| mirrori | ng the r | | rend of I | reducing | waste a | arisings. | Due to | the cont | tinued h | igher co | st of ene | ergy from | | | /11. This is a good outtu ot be investing in this to | | |

Due to external verification of data through the Waste Data Flow system, both the Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 figures are provisional and the Quarter 3 figure is not ye

Note: SC6 and SC7 will not total 100% because they do not include all waste. For example, NI192 only represents household waste for Reuse, Recycling/Composting and does not include clinical waste, fly tipping and rubble.

| t son | Seasonal | Performance Judgement | 仓 | G | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ce in 2010/11. This has been achieved tion and recycling including targeted work in intry. | | | | | | | |
| et available. | | | | | | | |

| t son | Seasonal | Performance Judgement | ① | G | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| and waste arisings generally continue to fall nce and the 2011/12 target is to maintain | | | | | | | |
| et available. | | | | | | | |